



ICOSAHEDRAL PHASE OF Al₆₅Cu₂₅Fe₁₅

Luciano Nascimento and Anastasiia Melnyk

Center for Pedagogical and Scientific Studies of Vale do Piancó, PB, Brazil *email adress

DOI: 10.30609/JETI.2020-7558

Abstract. The present work aimed to characterize the microstructure of the icosahedral phase (quasicrystalline phase- ϕ) of the system with stoichiometric composition of the quasicrystal Al₆₅Cu₂₅Fe₁₅. The ternary alloy with nominal composition of Al₆₅Cu₂₅Fe₁₅ was processed by mechanical alloying (MA) as a viable solid state processing method for producing various metastable and stable quasicrystalline phases. The structural characterization of the obtained samples was performed by X-ray diffraction (XRD) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM), while the elemental composition was determined by dispersive energy spectroscopy (DES). On the surface of the quasicrystalline, we can observe in the SEM the presence of γ -Al2O3 alumina, favoring the textural properties of support for catalytic applications. The diffraction patterns of Al65Cu25Fe15 showed the presence of ω -Al7Cu2Fe, β -Al(Fe,Cu) and λ -Al13Fe4 phases that coexist with the thermodynamic quasicrystalline phase- ϕ . Finally, elemental analysis indicates that during alloy synthesis there is little variation of the ideal composition. The results indicate that alloys with high percentage of icosahedral phase can be obtained by casting in the air.

Keywords: Mechanical Alloying (MA), Icosahedral Phase, Characterization, Quasicrystal Al₆₅Cu₂₅Fe₁₅.

1. Introduction

Quasicrystals are defied as a solid which has the sharp Bragg peaks as a conventional crystal but loses the lattice translational symmetry in physical space. Recently, some interesting behaviours in quasicrystals (and its related approximants) such as superconductivity, quantum critical phenomena as well as various magnetic transitions etc., are demonstrated. However, such properties are still limited in quasicrystals. Thus, new icosahedral quasicrystals (i-phases) in novel alloys may open a new research field of quasicrystal society. Since the discovery of the stable quasicrystal in ternary Al-Cu-Fe alloy, Al-transition metals (TMs)-based stable i-phases have been reported. These i-phases were discovered by the Hume–Rothery rules, which is a tendency for a specific crystal structure to form at a characteristic ratio of the number of itinerant electrons per atom (e/a), as a guide.

The quasicrystalline phases are a new class of intermetallic compounds that exhibit conventionally forbidden rotational symmetries in their diffraction patterns, which are incompatible with translational periodicity. The icosahedral phase was first discovered in 1984 by Schechtman in a rapidly solidified Al₈₆Mn₁₄ alloy [1]. These materials can be manufactured by mechanical alloying (MA), fast or conventional solidification (melt-spinning), physical deposition of vapors and plasma processing.

Mechanical alloying (MA) is a solid-state and powder processing technique involving repeated cold welding, fracturing, and re-welding of blended powder particles in a high-energy ball mill to produce a homogeneous material. Some neighbouring Al₁₃TM₄ phases (TM: transition metals, e.g. Fe, Co, Ni) and related ternaries consist of the same type of large icosahedral clusters and the same periodicity of stacking of planes with similar structure motifs, but are periodical three directions, sometimes with very large unit cells. Therefore, they are called approximants to the decagonal quasicrystals.

Originally developed to produce oxide-dispersion strengthened (ODS) nickel- and iron-base superalloys for applications in the aerospace industry, MA has now been shown to be capable of synthesizing a variety of equilibrium and non-equilibrium alloy phases starting from blended elemental or pre-alloyed powders.

The non-equilibrium phases synthesized include supersaturated solid solutions, metastable crystalline and quasicrystalline phases, nanostructures, and amorphous alloys. Non-equilibrium processing of materials has attracted the attention of a number of scientists and engineers due to the possibility of producing better and improved materials than is possible by conventional methods. Quasicrystal and approximant phases exist at certain alloy compositions usually defined by valence electron concentrations and represent a kind of Hume-Rothery phases. The crystal energy is lowered by contact of the Fermi level to the Brillouin zone planes formed by strong diffraction peaks. Historically, Hume-Rothery phases were considered first in the Cu-Zn alloys and related binary systems of Cu-group elements with the neighboring elements of higher valences.

Rapid solidification processing (RSP) and mechanical alloying (MA) are two such processing methods with somewhat similar capabilities. MA is a dry powder processing technique and has been used to synthesize both equilibrium and metastable phases of commercially useful and scientifically interesting materials. These intermetallics were the intermediate products formed during to gradual mechanical and thermal homogenization and excitation. The quantitative phase composition of mechanically alloyed powders was determined using interactively controlled database phase analysis, fitting procedures and modelling of X-ray patterns for different structures. The process of MA consists of loading the powder mix and the grinding medium (generally hardened steel or tungsten carbide balls) in a stainless steel container sealed under a protective argon atmosphere (to avoid/minimize oxidation and nitridation during milling) and milling for the desired length of time. About 1-2 wt.% of a process control agent (PCA) (usually stearic acid) is normally added to prevent excessive cold welding amongst the powder particles, especially when powders of ductile metals are milled.

The types of mills generally used are SPEX mills (wherein about 10g of the powder can be processed at a time), attritors (where a large quantity of about a few pounds of powder can be processed at a time), or Fritsch Pulverisette mills (where powder in more than one container can be processed simultaneously) [2]. The times required for processing are short in the SPEX mills whereas they are longer in the attritors or Fritsch mills. Thermodynamic stability of the icosahedral quasicrystalline phase in Al–Cu–Fe alloys has attracted lots of interest because it can hopefully give us a challenging opportunity for the potential industrial usage of the quasicrystalline materials.

Many investigations have been focused on the solidification behaviour and phase selection criterion of the Al–Cu–Fe alloys, and a peritectic reaction has been suggested as a formation mechanism of the icosahedral phase in an appropriate cooling rate range. Meanwhile, the complex multiphase solidification structures of these alloys together with extreme brittleness retard the development activities for practical applications.

The quasicrystals have many attractive properties, such as high hardness, low electrical and thermal conductivities, low surface energy, accompanied by low coefficient of friction, high resistance to oxidation and corrosion, and unusual optical properties that were not observed for crystalline alloys [3]. Such properties of quasicrystalline materials have been exploited for application of heterogeneous catalysts in processes and catalytic supports in catalytic oxidation reactions of methanol.

So far, several quasicrystals have been obtained in various binary, ternary and multicomponent systems. Generally, quasicrystalline phases form in systems based on Al, Mg, Zr, Fe, Co, Nb, Ti, Zn and Cu. As the variety of base metals forming quasicrystalline phases is broad, the spectrum of alloying elements is even broader [4]. However, the alloying elements are potentially toxic, but in the same time they are economically viable.

Al-Cu-Fe alloys are an exception due to the low degree of toxicity, easy availability and reasonable acquisition costs. Therefore, in the last two decades, Al-Cu-TM (TM= Fe, Co, Ni, Zr, Nb) quasicrystalline alloy systems have been intensively researched. It was reported that the quasicrystalline phase formed in the conventionally solidified $Al_{65}Cu_{20}Fe_{15}$ alloy is thermodynamically stable and does not undergo transformation phase to the melting point (primary crystalline phase peritonetic reaction) at 1135 K [5].

Therefore, the discovery of the thermodynamically stable quasicrystalline phase in the Al₆₅Cu₂₀Fe₁₅ alloy opened a new path for its experimental investigations. The preparation, properties, structure and application of these quasicrystalline alloys have been the main topics of interest in the field of the science of materials related to powder metallurgy. The present work aims to investigate the formation of the quasicrystalline phase in the Al₆₅Cu₂₅Fe₁₅ system by mechanical alloying method using a planetary mill (processing time – thirty hours). The quasicrystalline phases, morphological and structural characteristics of the conventionally solidified Al₆₅Cu₂₅Fe₁₅ alloy were investigated using techniques X-ray diffraction (XRD), scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and dispersive energy spectroscopy (DES). In addition, it was studied the conditions of grinding and thermal treatment to obtain the icosahedral phase and quasicrystalline phase- ϕ in the quasicristal Al₆₅Cu₂₅Fe₁₅.

2. Material and Methods

In the experiment, aluminum powders (purity- 99.99%), copper (purity-99.99%) and iron (purity-99.99%) with nominal stoichiometric composition (expressed as %) of $Al_{65}Cu_{25}Fe_{15}$ were duly weighed, using a SHIMADZU model scale Ay 220, with precision of the order of 10^{-4} g. The $Al_{65}Cu_{25}Fe_{15}$ quasicrystalline alloy powder was obtained after the occurrence of the reaction in a Pulverizette 5 Fritsch planetary mill, for 30 hours with cylindrical pitchers 77 mm in diameter by 80 mm in height and balls (8,12 and 20 mm in diameter), both being balls and tungsten jars.

The milling speed was set to 300 rpm, to obtain fine powder of $Al_{65}Cu_{25}Fe_{15}$ alloy. The amount of material to be milled was kept constant for the experiment, being 25 g of powder per test, and the BPR dilution ratio changed between 10: 1 (5 and 10 kg of beads, respectively) under argon atmosphere.

High purity argon is the most commonly used inert gas to prevent oxidation and / or contamination of powders. Generally, some considerable temperature increases can be achieved during grinding, reaching temperatures above 680°C in the confined contact region between 8 mm diameter stainless steel grinding bodies.

There are very few reports of studies on the effects of intentionally altered grinding temperature. Usually, this is done by adding liquid N_2 in the grinding container to lower the

-4-

temperature or by heating the grinding container to increase the temperature. The milling time depends on the material and its structure.

3. Results and discussion

1.1 Characterization Methods

The identification of the phases and also microstructural analysis and alloys quasicrystalline were done using the techniques X-ray diffraction (XRD), scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and dispersive energy spectroscopy (DES). The XRD analysis was performed using a SIEMENS diffractometer, Model D-5000, with CuK_{α} (λ = 1,5406 Å), 0.01°/s sweep step, in a 2θ (2-theta) ranging from 20° to 120°. Thus, we increased the proportion of the quasicrystalline phase, and thus performed a heat treatment to favor the peritoneal transformation of these phases. In this case, we use a radiant oven, brand ANALOGICA and model AN8000, initially each sample was treated for 24 h at a temperature of 750°C. However, the ordering and stability of the icosahedral phase can then be obtained by heat treatment at 750-780°C. The formation of the stable structure and quasicrystals appear to result from the ordering of metastable intermetallic with crystalline phases. We heat the oven and the sample simultaneously at a heating rate of 30°C / min. Before and during the treatment, we perform intermediate washes with argon in order to reduce the effects of oxidation and treat the samples in an inert atmosphere. The SEM/ DES analysis was performed by a LEO scanning electron microscope model 1430 with OXFORD microprocessor for DES model 7353 coupled with a voltage between 5 and 20kV, after the sample was coated with a layer of gold deposited under vacuum in order to increase the contrast. The load of the powder mixture was maintained at 25 g for all tests, as well as the 10:1 powder-to-powder ratio. The adopted assay speed was 300 rpm and grinding time was 30 hours. Finally, 2 g of polyethylene additive were used as grinding medium and argon atmosphere. Polyethylene was used as a process controlling agent and added before grinding in order to reduce the adhesion of ductile aluminum particles to the bead spheres and walls.

3. Results and discussion

The X-ray diffraction spectrum of the sample with Al₆₅Cu₂₅Fe₁₅ stoichiometry is shown in Figure 1 in the crude state of fusion. The following phases are observed in the diffractogram: cubic phase of type β -Al(Fe,Cu), ω -Al₇Cu₂Fe and quasicrystalline phase- ϕ , which is a solid solution with cubic structure isomorphic of cesium chloride structure (CsCl) and the isomorphic phase λ -Al₁₃Fe₄ is completely monoclinic [6]. For the Al₆₅Cu₂₅Fe₁₅ alloy composition the presence of β -Al(Fe,Cu) and λ -Al₁₃Fe₄ phases coexist with the quasicrystalline phase- ϕ , which depends on kinetic and thermodynamic processes. This result also suggests that the β -Al(Fe,Cu) is formed directly from the liquid phase [7]. It is possible to predict the main intermetallic phases that are present: FeAl, Fe₃Al, FeAl₂, Fe₂Al₅, FeAl₃ and Fe₂Al₉ and FeAl₆ metastable phases. Therefore, it can be seen that in a Fe-Al diffusion pair, there is a smaller drop in the circularity and a tendency to stabilize indicating a different kinetic behavior, thus causing the nodule to grow increasing the number of new complex phases with Cu and Fe, this can facilitate the appearance of facets, nodules and influences a cubic geometry is characterized by a solid/liquid interface in each phase. In addition, the β -phase transforms below 750°C into the λ , ω and β -phases, which are solid solutions induced by the solubility of Cu and Fe. In the Al₆₅Cu₂₅Fe₁₅ alloy, the formation of the icosahedral phase is the result of a peritoneal reaction between phase β -Al(Fe,Cu) with the remaining liquid. From 725°C for 30 hours, in the Al₆₃Cu₂₅Fe₁₂ alloy the quasicrystalline phase was obtained along with the β -Al(Fe,Cu) and λ -Al₁₃Fe₄ phases for this milling time. During the 30 hours of milling at a temperature of 700°C, the quasicrystalline phase- ϕ was obtained that coexists with the phases β -Al(Fe,Cu) and λ -Al₁₃Fe₄. Frequently, the chemical formula of β -phase in Al-Cu-Fe system is written in the form of β -Al(Fe,Cu), to represent the fact that part of Fe is replaced by Cu. The forces of repulsion between Cu and Fe have a pronounced effect on the elements distributions over the phases during the transformations caused by heating and also by a longer milling processing. Upon further heating, the chemical composition of the coexisting phases gradually approaches the average composition of the alloy.

The crude melt sample, composition Al₆₅Cu₂₅Fe₁₅, showed peaks associated with the λ -Al₁₃Fe₄ monoclinic phase, possibly due to a higher percentage of iron and aluminum in the alloy. It can be seen that, in addition to the peaks associated to the β -Al(Cu,Fe) cubic phase that were previously mentioned, a greater definition of the peaks referring to the icosahedral phase and the quasicrystalline phase- ϕ [8].



Figure 1: The XRD Spectrum of Al₆₅Cu₂₅Fe₁₅ alloy in the crude state of fusion.

The Al₆₅Cu₂₅Fe₁₅ quasicrystalline alloy in its crude state of fusion was observed by SEM with the X-ray emission peaks of the chemical elements (Al, Cu and Fe) constituents in the sample of Al₆₅Cu₂₅Fe₁₅, shown Figures 2 and 3 below. At 30 hours of milling, new peaks have appeared along with those of Al, Al₂Cu (intermetallic phase) and the λ -Al₁₃Fe₄ phase, being the quasicrystalline phase- ϕ and the most predominant β -Al(Fe,Cu) phase with formation of the icosahedral phase and their ordering are observed after heating. In addition, the heat treatment of the milled sample for 30 hours leads to the stabilization of the phase β -Al(Fe,Cu). In addition, the heat treatment of the milled sample for 30 hours leads to the stabilization of the β -Al(Fe,Cu) phases and the quasicrystalline phase- ϕ . It is well known that the product phases in the case of peritectic as well as peritectoid reactions heterogeneously nucleate at the interface of the parent reactant phases. Subsequently, the product phase grows along the interface to form an intervening layer between the reactants. The transformation in both cases proceeds by solute diffusion through the product phase.

Therefore, the diffusion of solute through product phase has been found to be the determining factor for the growth of the product phase. This diffusion during a peritectoid reaction is extremely slow.

During the 30 hours there is an increase in the peaks of the icosahedral phase and a decrease of the phase ω -Al₇Cu₂Fe that is diluted with the quasicrystalline phase- ϕ . After a time of 30 hours, we notice that there is an evolutionary increase of the icosahedral phase and the phase β -Al(Fe,Cu) appears. The maximum limit for the heat treatment temperature

is 858°C, which is the melting point of the icosahedral structure. After mixing the Al₆₅Cu₂₅Fe₁₅ powder, typical composition for the icosahedral, quasicrystalline phase, milled, most of the structure produced, consists of β -Al (Fe, Cu) intermetallics, the quasicrystalline phase is a secondary phase.

This result of the microstructural morphology observed in SEM showed a typical structure of a quasicrystalline grain in the form of dodecahedron polyhedral with by nodules small cauliflower, according to Figure 2.



Figure 2: SEM of the quasicrystalline alloy $Al_{65}Cu_{25}Fe_{15}$ obtained after 30 hours of milling It can be observed in the image of β -Al(Fe, Cu) pentagonal prism in column structure and small cauliflower nodules showing the λ -Al₁₃Fe₄ monoclinic phase coexisting with the quasicrystalline phase- ϕ , in solution solid [9]. The average grain size that this sample reaches after 30 hours of milling is ~ 19nm.

The quasicrystalline phase- ϕ has equilibrium phase fields with three phases of β -Al(Fe, Cu), λ -Al₁₃Fe₄, and liquid phases at 800°C, with four phases of β , λ , ω -Al₇Cu₂Fe, and liquid at 720°C, and with three phases of β -Al(Fe,Cu), ω , and liquid at 680°C. The large droplets, which experience less undercooling, contain higher heat content prior to deposition and undergo nucleation and growth of the primary λ -Al₁₃Fe₄ phase in the liquid.

After deposition, the remaining undercooled liquid phase leads to the formation of the quasicrystalline phase- ϕ . As the precipitates of the λ -Al₁₃Fe₄ phase are observed to be located in the centre of grains, this indicates that the quasicrystalline phase forms during deposition of undercooled droplets.

It is observed that even very small over-sprayed particles, which would have experience a very high cooling rate, reveal the presence of β -Al(Fe,Cu) phase. This must be seen in view of the fact that the composition of the quasicrystalline phase- ϕ depends on the

cooling conditions and the coexisting phases that are in equilibrium with the β -Al(Fe,Cu) phase. The high heat content of the liquid could also be inferred from the shrinkage porosity observed in the regions having large grain size. This kind of porosity is absent in the small-grained regions. After annealing at 750°C for 30 hours, the precipitates of the λ -Al₁₃Fe₄ phase dissolve in the quasicrystalline phase- ϕ . Compared to β -Al(Fe,Cu) phase, λ -Al₁₃Fe₄ is featured by its relatively high Cu concentration, thus is considered to be non-equilibrium, transforming to other phases upon annealing.

The equilibrium of these phases depends on the thermodynamic temperature. The change of the phase composition between the composite materials and the initial blend indicates that during the hot pressing process the reaction between the quasicrystalline particles and the matrix takes place. The formation of the ω -Al₇Cu₂Fe phase leads to an increase in the volume fraction of reinforcement with respect to the nominal value due to the higher molar volume of the ω -Al₇Cu₂Fe phase. It has been reported that the transformation of the phase- ϕ into crystalline phases depends on the consolidation conditions, especially temperature of the process.

The formation of the ω -Al₇Cu₂Fe phase was found in the composites consolidated at different temperatures and pressures. In our experiments the phase- ϕ transformed to ω -Al₇Cu₂Fe at lower temperature (about 400°C), contrary to the results presented above. Reduction of this temperature could be correlated with the presence of the β -Al(Cu,Fe) ,phase, which coexists with the *i*-phase in the initial melt spun ribbon. In the rapidly solidified ribbons observed phases are in the metastable state and they can transform into stable equivalents during annealing at certain temperatures.

The temperature used for the compaction (about 400°C) is much lower than the temperature (above 600°C) of the transformation of two-phase $\beta+\phi$ structure to the single phase- ϕ . Therefore, it can be suggested that diffusion of the aluminium and copper between β and ϕ -phases facilitates change of the phase- ϕ composition towards that typical for stable *i*-phase variant and subsequent formation of small grains of the phase- ω (containing 71.3 at.% of Al, 19 at.% of Cu and 9.6 at.% of Fe) in the areas enriched in Al close to the β/ϕ -phase interface. Similar phenomena of the ω -Al₇Cu₂Fe phase nucleation on quasicrystalline/matrix interfaces. In the peritectic transformation, the reaction proceeds by advancement of the peritectic/primary interface. The compositional measurements clearly reveal that the transformation leading to formation of ω particles involves diffusion of aluminium and copper across the quasicrystalline phase- ϕ/ω interface. One can carry out calculations based on diffusional growth to show whether it is possible to form the ω phase

in the solid state. The interfaces do not bear any evidence of a peritectic reaction. The absence of anti-phase domains clearly indicates that the ω phase has not been formed in the solid state. The growth rate calculations using peritectoid transformation kinetics underestimates the observed experimental values. Therefore, it can be concluded that the ω crystallites precipitate on quasicrystals by heterogeneous nucleation and grow into the aluminium-rich melt until the supersaturation is exhausted.

Reduction of this temperature could be correlated with the presence of the β -Al(Cu, Fe) phase, which coexists with the ϕ -phase in the initial melt spun ribbon. In the rapidly solidified ribbons observed phases are in the metastable state and they can transform into stable equivalents during annealing at certain temperature. Figure 3 shows the elemental analysis spectrum of the DES. There is a greater predominance of aluminum than the other elements (copper and iron) that make up the quasicrystalline alloy. The existence of γ -Al₂O₃ favors the formation of spinel on CuAl₂O₄ oxidation in the presence of Cu or CuO. Among the different transition aluminas known, γ -alumina (γ -Al₂O₃) is perhaps the most important with direct application as a catalyst and catalyst support in the automotive and petroleum industries. The usefulness of this oxide can be traced to a favorable combination of its textural properties, such as surface area, pore volume, and poresize distribution and its acid/base characteristics, which are mainly related to surface chemical composition, local microstructure, and phase composition.

Nevertheless, the chemical and hydrothermal stability of γ -Al₂O₃ are still a critical point for catalytic applications. For example, the use of γ -Al₂O₃ as a support for Co based catalysts in the Fischer–Tropsch (FT) process for the production of clean fuels is not lacking in practical problems. γ -Al₂O₃ is reported to appear at temperatures between 350 and 1000°C when it is formed from crystalline or amorphous precursors, and is stable at temperatures as high as 1200°C when the latter is used as the starting material. The structure of γ -Al₂O₃ is traditionally considered as a cubic defect spinel type, the defective nature derives from the presence of only trivalent Al cations in the spinel like structure, i.e., the magnesium atoms in the ideal spinel CuAl₂O₄ /FeAl₂O₄ /MgAl₂O₄ are replaced by aluminum atoms.

The oxygen lattice is built up by a cubic close-packed stacking of oxygen layers, with Al atoms occupying the octahedral and tetrahedral sites. To satisfy the γ -Al₂O₃ stoichiometry some of the lattice positions remain empty (vacancies), although their precise location is still controversial. When γ -Al₂O₃ is derived from amorphous aluminas it has always been reported to possess a cubic lattice. Both a cubic lattice and tetragonal distortion]

are found for boehmite [AlO(OH)] or gibbsite [Al(OH)₃] derived γ -Al₂O₃. Other studies, however, have proposed the existence of only a tetragonal structure.

Recently, tetragonal γ -Al₂O₃ obtained from highly crystalline boehmite was reported to be present between 450–750 °C. A reduction of the tetragonal distortion can be produced by increasing the temperature, but at no stage is cubic γ -Al₂O₃ obtained. A new phase has been identified with more obvious cation ordering above 750 °C, designated as γ' -Al₂O₃, which approaches the structure of δ -Al₂O₃ above 900°C. The main difference is considered to be in the arrangement of the Al atoms: the vacancies are distributed between octahedral and tetrahedral sites in γ -Al₂O₃, while they are only in octahedral sites in δ -Al₂O₃; the oxygen lattice is the same for both phases.



Figure 3: DES spectrum of the sample on Al₆₅Cu₂₅Fe₁₅.

In an advanced stage of oxidation, the formation of oxide nodules was observed by SEM. However, the possibility of formation of other spinel oxides such as CuFe_xAl_{2-x}O₄, are essential to surface catalysis and CuAl₂O₄ and Fe₃O₄ composites are complexes that form a thin film on the surface of quasicrystalline alloy. Fe is not as much oxidised probably because it is embedded in CuAl₂O₄ grains, but the Cu is only partly oxidised. The growth of an Al-oxide layer is controlled by diffusion of Al and O ions towards the metal-oxide interface involving predominantly the formation of Al–O bonds. Alumina (Al₂O₃) presents Lewis-type acid sites which are formed during the dehydration process by combination of two surface hydroxyl groups. Silica-alumina (SiO₂:Al₂O₃) has acid-sites of the Lewis and Brönsted types.

The Brönsted type is able to give away a proton, in such a way that the mechanism of the dehydration reaction may be of the ionic type. For the oxidation of Al, the oxide films formed at low temperatures (T<200°C) are amorphous and can be described by a close packing of oxygen anions with the Al cations distributed over the octahedral and tetrahedral interstices and exhibit a deficiency of Al cations. A stoichiometric Al_2O_3 may form if the exact amount of Al is available for a given oxygen dose.

At the high-temperature limit of 858°C, the diffusivity does not prevent the supply of Al and O, thus, the surface film establishes a stoichiometric condition, which favors crystallization, correspondingly, and the oxidation of the clean pentagonal surface of $Al_{65}Cu_{25}Fe_{15}$ quasicrystal leads sheets at room temperature exhibited field-effect mobilities of $6 - 9 \text{ cm}^2 \text{V}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$, which are almost one order of magnitude higher than those reported for to the growth of an amorphous oxide layer. In order to obtain a proper quasicrystal–oxide interface, Al segregation from the bulk to the surface is required that first restores the quasicrystalline order and (only) then binds to oxygen to form the oxide layer.

The high heat of formation for Al₂O₃, however, favors most likely the oxide formation on the crystalline Al₆₅Cu₂₅Fe₁₅ surface before the quasicrystalline stoichiometry and order is restored. The oxide thin layer that forms is very dense and has a damping and inhibitory property in the presence of Cu, forming a rich variety of compounds with oxidation states of +1 and +2. The removal of oxygen results in excess electrons that are redistributed on the cation empty levels, thus changing their oxidation state from Mⁿ⁺ to M⁽ⁿ⁻¹⁾⁺. Transition-metal oxides such as CuO, TiO₂, Fe₃O₄ ,WO₃, NiO, Fe₂O₃, CuAl₂O₄,Al₂O₃,CeO₂, *etc.*, just to mention a, belong to this category which remain on the surface of the quasicrystalline alloy due to the adhesion / sorption properties of amorphization on its surface.

Oxides can be used as inert supports of finely dispersed active metal nanoparticles or directly as catalysts. In this latter case, the oxide surface must be able to exchange chemical species with the liquid- or gas-phase surroundings or to adsorb chemical species and promote dissociation and regeneration of chemical bonds.

The great flexibility of oxide surfaces stems from the presence on the surface of both Lewis and Brönsted acid and basic sites, sometimes acting in a cooperative way [10]. Therefore, these nodules consist of α -Al₂O₃ and γ -Al₂O₃, although the morphology of the nodule is very unusual for this oxide phase in the icosahedral phase.

4. Conclusion

The icosahedral phase is directly transformed into the λ -Al₁₃Fe₄ monoclinic structure. In the case of the nominal stoichiometric composition Al₆₅Cu₂₅Fe₁₅, the icosahedral phase is completely transformed in the cubic phase β -Al(Cu,Fe) in solid solution at 700 °C, being evidenced in the XRD analysis. In 700°C during 5 hours in Al₆₅Cu₂₅Fe₁₅ quasicrystalline alloy, it is possible to obtain the quasicrystalline phase presence of the β -Al(Fe,Cu) and λ -Al₁₃Fe₄ phases coexist with the quasicrystalline phase- ϕ up to 30-hour grinding time.

During the nanostruction process, the formation of new phases is poorly evidenced, only dependent on the grinding time and the heat treatment to be given in the Al₆₅Cu₂₅Fe₁₅ sample. This confirms the stability of this phase under the grinding process. The average grain size that this sample reaches after 30 hours of milling is ~ 19nm. The morphological analysis of Al₆₅Cu₂₅Fe₁₅ alloy performed by SEM, presents a crystallite with a structure of the column structure in the shape of a pentagonal prism with small platelets of aluminum oxide (Al₂O₃) surrounded in the upper part and with small nodules of cauliflower. The ω phase precipitates on the icosahedral phase by heterogeneous nucleation and grows into the aluminium-rich melt until the supersaturation is exhausted. The phase evolution during laser processing can be explained using concepts available in the literature.

In grinding the obtained product is a solid metastable solution that acquires more energy as the increase in the milling time and whose transformation in the icosahedral phase occurs by a faster diffusion process, justifying a less thermal treatment time. From 600 °C in the Al₆₅Cu₂₅Fe₁₅ alloy the quasicrystalline phase was obtained along with the β -Al(Fe,Cu) and λ -Al₁₃Fe₄ phases up to a grinding time of 30 hours. When the quasicrystalline alloy is subjected to high temperatures, the formation of a sequence of metastable aluminas before the formation of the γ -Al₂O₃ alumina is observed. The ϕ -phase partially transformed to ω -Al₇Cu₂Fe phase in all hot compacted composites, which involved an increase in the volume fraction. The ω-phase nucleated in the form of small grains as a product of Al₆₅Cu₂₅Fe₁₅ particle transformation. Additionally, the grains of the β -Al(Cu,Fe) icosahedral phase located at the Al₆₅Cu₂₅Fe₁₅ particle/matrix interface were observed. The oxide bed which forms is very dense and has a damping and inhibitory property in the presence of Cu or CuO and Fe₃O₄/CuAl₂O₄ due to the adhesion / sorption properties of amorphization on its surface. In an advanced stage of oxidation, the formation of oxide nodules was observed by SEM. Therefore, these nodules consist of α -Al₂O₃, although the morphology of the nodule is very unusual for this oxide phase in the icosahedral phase.

5. Acknowledgment

The authors thank CNPq for their financial support and CETENE.

6. Bibliography

[1]. SHECHTMAN, D., BLECH, I., GRATIAS, D., CAHN, J.W. Metallic phase with long-range orientational order and no translational symmetry. Physical Review Letters 53, 1984, pp. 1951-1953.

[2]. SURYANARAYANA, C., IVANOV, E., BOLDYREV, V.V. The science and technology of mechanical alloying. Materials Science and Engineering A304–306,2001pp. 151–158.

[3]. DANIELS, M.J., KING, D., FEHRENBACHER, L., ZABINSKI, J.S., BILELLO, J.C. Physical vapor deposition route for production of Al-Cu-Fe-Cr and Al-Cu-Fe quasicrystalline and approximant coatings. Surface and Coatings Technology 191, 2005, pp. 96-101.

[4].YIN, S., LI, C., BLANB, Q., LUA, M. Effect of composition on the formability of quasicrystalline phase in mechanically alloyed Al–Cu–Fe powders. Materials Science and Engineering A 496, 2008, pp. 362-365.

[5].OCHIN, P., QUIVY. A., DEZELLUS, A., PEYNOT, S., GUIBERT, J.P. Optimum quenching conditions for the formation of the icosahedral phase in Al-Cu-Fe alloys. Scripta Metallurgica et Materialia 25, 1991, pp. 1821-1826.

[6].TSAI, A.P. Discovery of stable icosahedral quasicrystals: progress in understanding structure and properties. Chemical Society Reviews 42, 2013, pp. 5352-5365.

[7]. YOSHIOKA, A., EDAGAWA, K., KIMURA, K., TAKEUCHI, S. Production of high-quality thin-film samples of Al-Cu-Fe icosahedral quasicrystal. Japanese Journal of Applied Physics 34(3), 1995, pp. 1606-1609

[8].ROSAS, G., PEREZ, R. On the transformations of the ψ -AlCuFe icosahedral phase. Material Letters 47, 2001, pp. 225-230.

[9].BAKER, A., CAPUTO, M., HAMPIKIAN, H., SIMPSON, L., LI, C. Icosahedral quasicrystal layer observed on λ phase in Al-Cu-Fe alloy. Materials Sciences and Applications 8, 2017, pp. 509-520.

[10].ESTRELLA, M., BARRIO, L., ZHOU, G., ANG, X., WANG, Q., WEN, W., HANSON, J.C., FRENKEL, A.I., RODRIGUEZ, A.J. In situ characterization of CuFe₂O₄ and Cu/Fe₃O₄ water-gas shift catalysts. The Journal of Physical Chemistry C 113, 2009, pp. 14411-14417.